



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Atty. Docket No: 038602-11086

TECH CENTER 1600/2900
APR 05 2002

RECEIVED

In re patent application of

TANG *et al.*

Serial No.: 09/783,264

Group Art Unit: 1626

Bett

Filed: February 15, 2001

Examiner: L. Stockton

4-8-02

For: PYRROLE SUBSTITUTED 2-INDOLINONE PROTEIN KINASE
INHIBITORS

RESPONSE TO A RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In reply to the Office Action mailed March 7, 2002 (PTO Prosecution File
Wrapper Paper No. 9), please enter the following remarks.

This Response is being filed within the shortened statutory period of 30 days from the mailing of the Office Action. Therefore, Applicants believe that no extensions of time are necessary to prevent the abandonment of this application. If, however, extensions of time are deemed necessary to prevent abandonment of this application, then such extensions of time are hereby petitioned under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a), and any fees required therefor (including fees for net addition of claims) are hereby authorized to be charged to Deposit Account No. 19-0741.

REMARKS

→ Applicants provisionally elect Group I, claims 1 - 51, with traverse. Applicants traverse the restriction requirement on the ground that there is no undue burden on the Examiner to examine Groups I and II together. It is respectfully submitted that pursuant to the Official Gazette notice of March 26, 1996, which establishes guidelines for treatment of product and process claims in light of *In re Ochiai*, claims drawn to methods (claims 52 - 59) should be included herein for consideration on the merits. At the minimum, method of use claims of the same scope as the product claims should be rejoined when the product claims are found to be allowable.